

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,440	09/16/2003	Hiroichi Ukei	Q77488 9838	
23373 75	590 05/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,440	UKEI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel Zirker	1771	

	Daniel Zirker	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further colling. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		. , ,	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: <u>see remarks below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a.		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·•		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	ii be entered and an e	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	Yanil Zirken	Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' Response unfortunately contains quite a few new issues, newly presented dependent claims, an amended dependent claim not properly indicated as being so amended, and accompanying remarks which appear should desirably have been presented accompanying the presented amendments when the claim amendments did not constitute "new issues" during prior prosecution. More particularly, the multiple amendments to claim 1 clearly constitute "new issues" in at least four places, although it does appear that if timely presented would greatly improve both the substance, as well as the readability of the claims. As regards the dependent claims applicants' have not indicated that the last two words of dependent claim 5 have been amended, and newly presented dependent claims 6-9 are each clearly not timely and constitute new issues. As regards applicants' remarks concerning the 112 1st paragraph based objection to the specification and the accompanying rejections of the claims, and also the prior art rejections of record it is also respectfully submitted that these would also be better handled in a refiled Continuation application.